Eligible Program Review

This table is based on the Hexagon Tool (Blase, et al. 2013b)

5 point rating scale: Team members can individually rate each area on a 1 to 5 scale, where 1 indicates a low level of feasibility, 3 a moderate level and 5 indicates a high level for the factor. Midpoints can be used and scored as a 2 or 4.

| Activity | High | Medium | Low |
|--|------|--------|-----|
| Review and discuss "eligible" programs and practices in relation to: | | | |
| Need in agency, community province, state | | | |
| Human, health service and socially significant issues | | | |
| Community perception of need | | | |
| Data indicating need | | | |
| Fit with, and impact on, current initiatives | | | |
| Agency, community, province, state priorities | | | |
| Organizational structures | | | |
| Community values | | | |
| Resources and supports for: | | | |
| Practice settings | | | |
| Technology supports | | | |
| Staffing | | | |
| Training | | | |
| Data systems | | | |
| Coaching and supervision | | | |
| Administration and systems | | | |
| Strength of evidence | | | |
| Outcomes - is it worth it? | | | |
| Fidelity data | | | |
| Cost effectiveness data | | | |
| Number of studies | | | |
| Population similarities | | | |
| Diverse cultural groups | | | |
| Efficacy or effectiveness | | | |
| Readiness for replication | | | |
| Qualifications of team | | | |
| Available expertise | | | |
| Mature sites to observe | | | |
| Operational definitions of essential functions | | | |