# **Online Teaching Module Delivery**

#### Module Feedback Peer-Review Survey

#### Adapted from:

Athabasca University (2014). COI Survey. Available from https://coi.athabascau.ca/coi-model/coi-survey/

Northcote, M., & Seddon, J. (2011). *MOOBRIC: A self-reflection rubric of Moodle skills and knowledge (online teaching, course design)*. Available from <u>http://moobric.net/moobric/full\_moobric\_latest.pdf</u>

#### **Module Identification**

Name of Module Reviewed:

Name of Module Developer / Instructor:

Link (URL) to Online Module (if available):

Date of Review:

#### **Instructions for Course Developers**

- Make sure you provide access to a copy of this survey form in your Online Teaching Module.
- Use the feedback provided on this form to guide your Final Reflection on your Online Teaching Module project, and to identify any possible revisions that could be made to your Online Teaching Module.

#### **Instructions for Peer-Reviewers**

- Use this form to provide peer-feedback on the delivery of the Online Teaching Modules developed by the members of your Online Teaching Module Group.
- Complete all sections of this form. Provide detailed feedback in the spaces provided.

	Baseline Standards				
Svllabus	Syllabus and Introductory Material				
	Syllabus and course schedule are clearly outlined				
	Course materials, dates, and links are reviewed for accuracy and consistency.				
	Course Preview or Introduction video/audio is ready to present to students before first day of classes				
Course N	lavigation and Technical Requirements				
	Course uses an appropriate learning management system				
	Students can readily access the technologies required in the course				
	The course technologies are current				
	Technology requirements are clearly mapped with links to required software				
	The tools and media support the course learning objectives				
	Navigation throughout the online components of the course is logical, consistent, and efficient				
	Course is well-organized and easy to navigate				
	All web pages are visually and functionally consistent throughout the course				
Learning	Activities				
	Lessons are sequenced in logical order				
	Lessons or modules and access to course materials are consistent in structure, design, and location				
	Duplication of information is strategically managed				
	Course identifies synchronous/asynchronous communication tools				
Learning	Support				
	Learner support is clearly identified within the course (including supports available via the LMS platform provider)				
	Links to Institution student services and resources are noted in the course.				

#### Additional Comments on Baseline Standards

Please provide specific feedback on any improvements that could / should be made with respect to the Baseline Standards (Syllabus and Introductory Material, Course Navigation and Technical Requirements, Learning Activities, and Learning Support) for the Online Teaching Unit.

# **Teaching Presence**

5 point Likert-type scale 1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree						
<b>Design &amp; Organization</b> 1. The instructor clearly communicated important course topics.						
1	2	3	4	5		
2. The instructor clearly co	ommunicated important	t course goals.				
1	2	3	4	5		
3. The instructor provided	clear instructions on ho	ow to participate in cours	e learning activities.			
1	2	3	4	5		
4. The instructor clearly co	ommunicated important	t due dates/time frames	for learning activities.			
1	2	3	4	5		
<b>Facilitation</b> 5. The instructor was helpful in identifying areas of agreement and disagreement on course topics that helped me to learn.						
1	2	3	4	5		
6. The instructor was helpful in guiding the class towards understanding course topics in a way that helped me clarify my thinking.						
1	2	3	4	5		
7. The instructor helped to keep course participants engaged and participating in productive dialogue.						
1	2	3	4	5		
8. The instructor helped keep the course participants on task in a way that helped me to learn.						
1	2	3	4	5		
9. The instructor encouraged course participants to explore new concepts in this course.						
1	2	3	4	5		

10. Instructor actions reinforced the development of a sense of community among course participants.

	1	2	3	4	5	
<b>Direct Instruction</b> 11. The instructor helped to focus discussion on relevant issues in a way that helped me to learn.						
	1	2	3	4	5	
12. The instructor provided feedback that helped me understand my strengths and weaknesses.						
	1	2	3	4	5	
13. The instructor provided feedback in a timely fashion.						
	1	2	3	4	5	

#### Additional Comments on Teaching Presence

Please provide specific feedback on any improvements that could / should be made with respect to Teaching Presence for the Online Teaching Unit.

### **Social Presence**

5 point Likert-type scale 1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree							
Affective expression							
14. Getting to know othe	r course participants gav	e me a sense of belongir	ig in the course.				
1	2	3	4	5			
15. I was able to form dis	tinct impressions of som	e course participants.					
1	2	3	4	5			
16. Online or web-based	communication is an exc	cellent medium for social	interaction.				
1	2	3	4	5			
	<b>Open communication</b> 17. I felt comfortable conversing through the online medium.						
1	2	3	4	5			
18. I felt comfortable par	ticipating in the course c	liscussions.					
1	2	3	4	5			
19. I felt comfortable interacting with other course participants.							
1	2	3	4	5			
<b>Group cohesion</b> 20. I felt comfortable disagreeing with other course participants while still maintaining a sense of trust.							
1	2	3	4	5			
21. I felt that my point of view was acknowledged by other course participants.							
1	2	3	4	5			
22. Online discussions help me to develop a sense of collaboration.							
1	2	3	4	5			

#### **Additional Comments on Social Presence**

Please provide specific feedback on any improvements that could / should be made with respect to Social Presence for the Online Teaching Unit.

# **Cognitive Presence**

5 point Likert-type scale 1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree							
Triggering event							
23. Problems posed incre	eased my interest in cour	se issues.					
1	2	3	4	5			
24. Course activities piqu	ed my curiosity.						
1	2	3	4	5			
25. I felt motivated to exp	plore content related que	estions.					
1	2	3	4	5			
<b>Exploration</b> 26. I utilized a variety of information sources to explore problems posed in this course.							
1	2	3	4	5			
27. Brainstorming and fin	27. Brainstorming and finding relevant information helped me resolve content related questions.						
1	2	3	4	5			
28. Online discussions were valuable in helping me appreciate different perspectives.							
1	2	3	4	5			
<b>Integration</b> 29. Combining new information helped me answer questions raised in course activities.							
1	2	3	4	5			
30. Learning activities helped me construct explanations/solutions.							
1	2	3	4	5			
31. Reflection on course content and discussions helped me understand fundamental concepts in this class.							

-	-	-	-	_
1	2	3	4	5
—	—	-	-	-

#### Resolution

32. I can describe ways to test and apply the knowledge created in this course.

1	2	3	4	5		
33. I have developed solutions to course problems that can be applied in practice.						
1	2	3	4	5		
34. I can apply the knowledge created in this course to my work or other non-class related activities.						
1	2	3	4	5		

#### Additional Comments on Cognitive Presence

Please provide specific feedback on any improvements that could / should be made with respect to Cognitive Presence for the Online Teaching Unit.

### **Additional Feedback**

Please feel free to provide any additional feedback about the Online Teaching Unit